Action Item 3

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA COMMISSION DIRECTIVE

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTER		DATE	November 02, 2011
MOTOR CARRIER MATTER		DOCKET NO.	2011-68-E/2011-158-E
UTILITIES MATTER	~	ORDER NO.	2011-816

THIS DIRECTIVE SHALL SERVE AS THE COMMISSION'S ORDER ON THIS ISSUE.

SUBJECT:

DOCKET NO. 2011-68-E - <u>Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.'s Notices and Information Required</u> by Regulatory Conditions 25 and 31 of Commission-Order No. 2000-229 (See Also Docket No. 2011-158-E);

-and-

DOCKET NO. 2011-158-E - <u>Application Regarding the Acquisition of Progress Energy, Incorporated by Duke Energy Corporation and Merger of Progress Energy Carolinas, Incorporated and Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC - Discuss this Matter with the Commission.</u>

COMMISSION ACTION:

The Joint Motion to Establish Procedural Schedule was filed by or supported by eleven of the twelve parties in this docket. We are appreciative of the efforts by the parties to reach an accommodation on the hearing schedule, and it is with their effort in mind that I move that – with some prefile date modifications – we grant the requests of the parties. However, I also move that we state several caveats regarding our granting of the motion.

It still appears that the most prudent and efficient course is for this Commission to wait until the federal intervenors have had time to comment on the mitigation measures to FERC and for FERC to determine whether those measures are sufficient. The Joint Motion proposes a more aggressive and potentially less efficient schedule, especially in regard to its hearing date.

While the proposed schedule provides the Petitioners with an opportunity to move the merger forward as quickly as possible, it also may in the end create inefficiency if the FERC's response to the mitigation plan is other than to approve it. In other words, the parties go forward with their accelerated schedule at the risk of having to appear before us again once FERC has made its decision.

Further, this Commission's granting of the proposed hearing date should not be taken as an indicator of when this Commission will rule, or of what additional scheduled proceedings may be required prior to such ruling.

There are also some <u>pre</u>-hearing issues with the proposed schedule. There is no provision in the Joint Motion to allow for testimony by the Petitioners regarding the FERC action, and it proposes that the direct prefile testimony of the state intervenors be due six days before the federal intervenors even file their responses in the FERC docket. Fortunately, there is some room for minor modifications to the proposed prefile schedule.

Therefore, I move that the following schedule be put in place, subject to all Commission filing rules:

November 17 th	Direct Testimony of Intervenors
November 30 th	Rebuttal Testimony of Petitioners
December 7 th	Surrebuttal Testimony of Intervenors
Week of December 12 th	Hearing

In addition, I move that we grant the joint request that these dockets remain open until further order of this Commission, pending FERC issuance of its final orders in all three merger-related dockets before the FERC: 1) the Merger application, 2) the proposed Joint Dispatch Agreement, and 3) the Joint Open Access Transmission Tariff docket. The Joint Movants seek the opportunity to analyze such orders and present positions as to whether or not such orders require further action by the Commission.

PRESIDING:	<u>Wright</u>				SESSION: Regular	TIME: 2:00 p.m.
FLEMING HALL HAMILTON HOWARD MITCHELL WHITFIELD	Wright MOTION	YES V	NO	OTHER Absent	SESSION: Regular Attending the Eastern Utility Rate	
WRIGHT		~				
(SEAL)					RECORDED	BY: J. Schmieding

